# Spanning Trees with Few Branch Vertices in Graphs of Bounded Neighborhood Diversity

L.Gargano A.A.Rescigno

Department of Computer Science University of Salerno

SIROCCO, June 5-10, 2023



Gargano, Rescigno (UniSa)

Minimum Branch Vertices

### Minimum Branch Vertices Problem

- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), where
- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}\xspace V$  represents the set of the members of the network
- $\bullet~E$  represents the relationships among them
- A *branch vertex* is a vertex having degree at least three



### Minimum Branch Vertices Problem

- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), where
- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}} V$  represents the set of the members of the network
- $\bullet~E$  represents the relationships among them
- A branch vertex is a vertex having degree at least three

The Minimum Branch Vertices Problem is defined as follows

#### MINIMUM BRANCH VERTICES (MBV)

**Instance:** A connected graph G = (V, E). **Goal:** Find a spanning tree of G having the smallest number b(G) of branch vertices among all the spanning trees of G.



### Minimum Branch Vertices Problem

- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), where
- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}\xspace V$  represents the set of the members of the network
- $\bullet~E$  represents the relationships among them
- A branch vertex is a vertex having degree at least three

The Minimum Branch Vertices Problem is defined as follows

#### MINIMUM BRANCH VERTICES (MBV)

**Instance:** A connected graph G = (V, E). **Goal:** Find a spanning tree of G having the smallest number b(G) of branch vertices among all the spanning trees of G.

Since a spanning tree without branch vertices is a Hamiltonian path of G, we have b(G) = 0 if and only if G admits a Hamiltonian path.



- Wavelength-division multiplexing (WDM) technology
  - One wants to minimize the number of lightsplitting switches in a light-tree





### Networks operating with a wide range of frequencies

• Cognitive Radio Networks









- Gargano *et al.* [2002] proved it is NP-complete to decide whether, given a graph G and an integer k, G admits a spanning tree with at most k branch vertices, even in cubic graphs.
- Salomon [2005] proved
  - the existence of an algorithm that finds a spanning tree with  $O(\log |V(G)|)$  branch vertices whenever the degree of each vertex of the input graph is  $\Omega(|V(G)|)$ ;
  - an approximation factor better than  $O(\log |V(G)|)$  would imply that  $NP \subseteq DTIME(n^{O(\log \log n)})$
- Heuristics and approximation results for MBV have been presented from then on [Chimani et al. 2015, Marin 2015, Landete et al. 2017, etc.]



#### Fixed Parameter Tractable (FPT)

A problem with input size n and parameter p is called *fixed parameter tractable (FPT)* if it can be solved in time  $f(p) \cdot n^c$ , where f is a computable function only depending on p and c is a constant.



#### Fixed Parameter Tractable (FPT)

A problem with input size n and parameter p is called *fixed parameter tractable (FPT)* if it can be solved in time  $f(p) \cdot n^c$ , where f is a computable function only depending on p and c is a constant.

Parameters:

- treewidth, rankwidth, and vertex cover: computing them is NP-hard
- clique-width: computing it is still an open problem
- modular width, neighborhood diversity: computable in polynomial time



## MBV and parameterized complexity

- MBV is FPT with respect to treewidth [Baste et al., 2022]
- Hamiltonian Path problem (MBV special case) is W[1]-hard when parametetized by cliquewidth [Fomin et al., 2010]
- Hamiltonian Path problem is FPT with respect to modular-width [Gajarský et al., 2013]



## MBV and parameterized complexity

- MBV is FPT with respect to treewidth [Baste et al., 2022]
- Hamiltonian Path problem (MBV special case) is W[1]-hard when parametetized by cliquewidth [Fomin et al., 2010]
- Hamiltonian Path problem is FPT with respect to modular-width [Gajarský et al., 2013]

#### We study the MBV problem with respect to neighborhood diversity and prove

#### Theorem 1

The MINIMUM BRANCH VERTICES spanning tree problem is FPT when parameterized by neighborhood diversity.



- Graph G = (V, E)
- $u, v \in V$  have the same type iff  $N(v) \{u\} = N(u) \{v\}$





- Graph G = (V, E)
- $u, v \in V$  have the same type iff  $N(v) \{u\} = N(u) \{v\}$
- A type partition of G is a partition  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_t$ , of the vertex set V, such that all the vertices in the type set  $V_i$  have the same type, for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ 
  - Note that by definition, each type set  $V_i$  induces either a *clique* or an *independent* set in G





- Graph G = (V, E)
- $u, v \in V$  have the same type iff  $N(v) - \{u\} = N(u) - \{v\}$
- A type partition of G is a partition  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_t$ , of the vertex set V, such that all the vertices in the type set  $V_i$  have the same type, for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ 
  - Note that by definition, each type set V<sub>i</sub> induces either a *clique* or an *independent* set in G

The *neighborhood diversity* of G, nd(G), is the minimum number t of sets in a type partition  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_t$  of G





- Graph G = (V, E)
- The type partition  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{nd}$  of G







## The minimum number of branch vertices

#### Lemma 2

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with type partition  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{nd}\}$ . Any spanning tree of G with b(G) branch vertices has at most one branch vertex belonging to any set of the type partition  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence,  $b(G) \leq nd$ .



## The minimum number of branch vertices

#### Lemma 2

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with type partition  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{nd}\}$ . Any spanning tree of G with b(G) branch vertices has at most one branch vertex belonging to any set of the type partition  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence,  $b(G) \leq nd$ .



## The minimum number of branch vertices

#### Lemma 2

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with type partition  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{nd}\}$ . Any spanning tree of G with b(G) branch vertices has at most one branch vertex belonging to any set of the type partition  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence,  $b(G) \leq nd$ .



## The Algorithm

- Connected graph G = (V, E)
- The type partition  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{nd}$  of G
- The type graph H of G

#### The algorithm

For each fixed set  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, nd\}$ , ordered by size,

- solve an Integer Linear Program for B<sub>H</sub> (exploiting the properties of the type partition of G)
- **2** if a solution of ILP exists for the current set  $B_H$ , construct a spanning tree of G with  $|B_H|$  branch vertices (where each branch vertex is from exactly one type set whose index is in  $B_H$ ).



## The Algorithm

- Connected graph G = (V, E)
- The type partition  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{nd}$  of G
- The type graph H of G

#### The algorithm

For each fixed set  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, nd\}$ , ordered by size,

- solve an Integer Linear Program for B<sub>H</sub> (exploiting the properties of the type partition of G)
- **2** if a solution of ILP exists for the current set  $B_H$ , construct a spanning tree of G with  $|B_H|$  branch vertices (where each branch vertex is from exactly one type set whose index is in  $B_H$ ).

Since modular-width generalizes neighborhood diversity, the FPT algorithm for HAMILTONIAN PATH parameterized by modular width in [Gajarský et al., 2013] can be used for the case  $B_H = \emptyset$ .

In the following we assume  $|B_H| \ge 1$ .

Gargano, Rescigno (UniSa)

Minimum Branch Vertices

- Select any arbitrary  $r \in B_H$
- $H_{B_H} = (\{1, \dots, \mathrm{nd}\} \cup \{s\}, A_{B_H})$ , with  $A_{B_H} = \{(s, r)\} \cup \{(i, j), (j, i) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(H)\}$
- For each  $(j,i) \in A_{B_H}$ , ILP uses a variable  $x_{ji}$  $x_{ji} =$  the number of vertices in the type set  $V_i$  whose parent in the spanning tree, is a vertex in the type set  $V_j$



- Select any arbitrary  $r \in B_H$
- $H_{B_H} = (\{1, \dots, \mathrm{nd}\} \cup \{s\}, A_{B_H})$ , with  $A_{B_H} = \{(s, r)\} \cup \{(i, j), (j, i) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(H)\}$
- For each  $(j,i) \in A_{B_H}$ , ILP uses a variable  $x_{ji}$  $x_{ji} =$  the number of vertices in the type set  $V_i$  whose parent in the spanning tree, is a vertex in the type set  $V_j$





- Select any arbitrary  $r \in B_H$
- $H_{B_H} = (\{1, \dots, \mathrm{nd}\} \cup \{s\}, A_{B_H})$ , with  $A_{B_H} = \{(s, r)\} \cup \{(i, j), (j, i) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(H)\}$
- For each  $(j,i) \in A_{B_H}$ , ILP uses a variable  $x_{ji}$  $x_{ji} =$  the number of vertices in the type set  $V_i$  whose parent in the spanning tree, is a vertex in the type set  $V_j$



- Select any arbitrary  $r \in B_H$
- $H_{B_H} = (\{1, \dots, \mathrm{nd}\} \cup \{s\}, A_{B_H}), \text{ with } A_{B_H} = \{(s, r)\} \cup \{(i, j), (j, i) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(H)\}$
- For each  $(j,i) \in A_{B_H}$ , ILP uses a variable  $x_{ji}$  $x_{ji} =$  the number of vertices in the type set  $V_i$  whose parent in the spanning tree, is a vertex in the type set  $V_j$





- Select any arbitrary  $r \in B_H$
- $H_{B_H} = (\{1, \dots, \mathrm{nd}\} \cup \{s\}, A_{B_H}), \text{ with } A_{B_H} = \{(s, r)\} \cup \{(i, j), (j, i) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(H)\}$
- For each  $(j,i) \in A_{B_H}$ , ILP uses a variable  $x_{ji}$  $x_{ji} =$  the number of vertices in the type set  $V_i$  whose parent in the spanning tree, is a vertex in the type set  $V_j$





SIROCCO 2023

$$\begin{split} x_{sr} &= 1 \\ \sum_{j:(j,i)\in A_{B_H}} x_{ji} \leq |V_i| & \forall i \in \{1,\ldots,\mathrm{nd}\} \text{ s.t. } V_i \text{ is a clique} \\ \sum_{j:(j,i)\in A_{B_H}} x_{ji} &= |V_i| & \forall i \in \{1,\ldots,\mathrm{nd}\} \text{ s.t. } V_i \text{ is an ind. set} \\ \sum_{\ell:(i,\ell)\in A_{B_H}} x_{i\ell} - \sum_{j:(j,i)\in A_{B_H}} x_{ji} \leq 0 & \forall i \in \{1,\ldots,\mathrm{nd}\} - B_H \\ y_{sr} &= \mathrm{nd} & \sum_{j:(j,i)\in A_{B_H}} y_{ji} - \sum_{\ell:(i,\ell)\in A_{B_H}} y_{i\ell} = 1 & \forall i \in \{1,\ldots,\mathrm{nd}\} \\ y_{ij} \leq \mathrm{nd} \ x_{ij} & \forall (i,j) \in A_{B_H} \\ y_{ij}, x_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} & \forall (i,j) \in A_{B_H} \end{split}$$







Gargano, Rescigno (UniSa)





Gargano, Rescigno (UniSa)

SIROCCO 2023



The ILP has no solution for each  $B_H \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$  with  $|B_H| = 1$ 









Gargano, Rescigno (UniSa)

Minimum Branch Vertices

SIROCCO 2023



Choose a vertex in  $V_1 = \{a\}$ as root of T and start to explore G, according to the values of x, until it is possible















There is a cycle in  $H_x$ containing 5. The subtree rooted at *i* is reconnected to the main tree. All the vertices have been explored







#### Lemma 3

If there exists a spanning tree in G with  $k \ge 1$  branch vertices then there exists a set  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \dots, nd\}$  with  $|B_H| = k$ , and a solution (x, y) of the corresponding ILP.



## The algorithm complexity

#### The algorithm

For each fixed set  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, nd\}$ , ordered by size,

- **()** solve an Integer Linear Program for  $B_H$
- **2** if a solution of ILP exists for the current set  $B_H$ , construct a spanning tree of G with  $|B_H|$  branch vertices.



## The algorithm complexity

#### The algorithm

For each fixed set  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, nd\}$ , ordered by size,

- **()** solve an Integer Linear Program for  $B_H$
- **2** if a solution of ILP exists for the current set  $B_H$ , construct a spanning tree of G with  $|B_H|$  branch vertices.

For each fixed  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \mathtt{nd}\}$ ,

using [Jansen et al., 2023] that gives an efficient algorithm to find a feasible solution of an ILP with small number of constraints, we have that our ILP can be solved in time

 $O({\tt nd}^2)^{(1+o(1))({\tt nd}^2+3{\tt nd}+2)}+O({\tt nd}^4)$ 

**(2)** the time to construct the spanning tree is  $O(n^2)$ 



# The algorithm complexity

#### The algorithm

For each fixed set  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, nd\}$ , ordered by size,

- **()** solve an Integer Linear Program for  $B_H$
- **2** if a solution of ILP exists for the current set  $B_H$ , construct a spanning tree of G with  $|B_H|$  branch vertices.

For each fixed  $B_H \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \mathtt{nd}\}$ ,

using [Jansen et al., 2023] that gives an efficient algorithm to find a feasible solution of an ILP with small number of constraints, we have that our ILP can be solved in time

$$O({\tt nd}^2)^{(1+o(1))({\tt nd}^2+3{\tt nd}+2)}+O({\tt nd}^4)$$

**(a)** the time to construct the spanning tree is  $O(n^2)$ 

Overall the time of our algorithm is

$$2^{{\rm nd}}(O({\rm nd}^2)^{(1+o(1))({\rm nd}^2+3{\rm nd}+2)}+O({\rm nd}^4))+O(n^2)$$

#### which is only additive in the input size.



thank



19/19

Gargano, Rescigno (UniSa)